IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 876 OF 2007

Jagannath Datta Revankar .... Petitioner

versus

Maharashtra Housing and Area Board and others ... Respondents

Mr. J.A. Udaipuri, instructed by M/s. A. Bharat & Co., for the petitioner.
Mr. S.P. Thorat for the respondents.

CORAM: **SWATANTER KUMAR, C.J.** &
S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE: **JUNE 11, 2007**.

P.C.

Issue notice to the respondents. Learned counsel appears and waives service on behalf of the respondents. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The principal grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is that he had made different representations praying that the permanent alternative accommodation be granted to the petitioner. He also relies upon the order passed by this Court on 5th April, 2002 in Writ Petition No. 608 of

2002. The learned counsel for the respondents submits that the authority would consider the representation of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within eight weeks from today. In view of the statement made, nothing survives in this writ petition and is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

CHIEF JUSTICE

S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.